

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,932	10/09/2003	Kenneth R. Schulz	1934-15-3	2201
7590 04/04/2007 Bryan A. Santarelli			EXAMINER	
GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP			LAI, VINCENT	
Suite 350 155 - 108th Avenue NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bellevue, WA 98004-5901			2181	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/683,932	SCHULZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vincent Lai	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	√. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	nuary 2007.	·				
·— ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) .						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-15-07</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The 35 USC 112 rejections are withdrawn after considering remarks.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 January 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 18 January 2007 have been fully considered but are not fully persuasive.

Art Unit: 2181

Arguments pertaining to claim 1 (and subsequently claims 2-3, 5-7, and 9-10) do not appear to address Ebeling, which was used remedy Wong. Instead Applicant merely states that disagreement without providing further evidence.

Applicant argues, "Even though the data bus between the ALU and ACM and the configuration busses from the LSM terminate at the ACM, there is not teaching or suggestion that the ACM couples the data bus to the configuration busses or otherwise the to the LSM. Consequently, Wong discloses no single bus that corresponds to the pipeline bus recited in claim 11."

Examiner does not agree with such statement as Examiner would argue that by having all those various types of bus terminate at the ACM would thereby couple the various buses together. It is also noted that buses are composed of more than one wire, as no modern computing system would share a single wire as a bus for various components. A modern computer bus is composed of multiple wires and the naming and inclusion of such wires in a bus is arbitrary.

It is noted that claims 23 and 24 are not new as the remarks indicate.

Applicant also argues, "Ebeling's architecture is so different from Wong's architecture that there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the two architectures to obtain the computing machine recited in claim 23, nor is there a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining a working architecture from such combination."

Art Unit: 2181

It is noted that complexity of combination does not affect obviousness. It is also noted that Ebeling was used to teach a specific type of operation, mainly a pipeline accelerator inoperable to communicate directly with the program-instruction bus" and not a type of architecture.

It is also noted changed discussed in an interview (and detailed in the Interview Summary mailed 12 September 2006) do not appear to have been made in regards to claim 1 but was with claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the respective clock signaled received by at least one of the other hardwired- pipeline circuits" in line 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

It appears the first and second recitations of a respective clock signal are not the same respectively clock signal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2181

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3, and 5-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong in view of Ebeling et al (U.S. Patent # 6,023,742), herein referred to as Ebeling.

As per claim 1, Wong discloses a pipeline accelerator, comprising: a communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 609; Col. 5, lines 24-26]; and a plurality of pipeline units each coupled to the communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, element "Datapath Slice"; Col. 5, lines 30-34] and each comprising a respective hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 6, elements "DPU"; Col. 5, lines 12-15 ("The DPUs provide the data path functionality for the behavioral mapping...")].

Wong does not explicitly disclose being coupled to receive and is operable in response to a respective clock signal that is unsynchronized with the respective clock signal received by at least one of the other hardwired-pipeline circuits.

Ebeling does disclose a datapath that operates parallel (See column 13, lines 9-10) and asynchronously (See column 9, lines 62-64).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Wong with Ebeling because both inventions deal with reconfigurable data paths with emphasis for flexibility (See abstracts of both

Art Unit: 2181

patents) and thus if one or more features belonging to a similar invention were desirable, it would be obvious to combine those features into the invention.

As per claim 2, Wong discloses the pipeline accelerator of claim 1 wherein each of the pipeline units comprises: a respective memory coupled to the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 7, element LSM; Col. 4, lines 28-31]; and wherein the hardwired-pipeline circuit is operable to, receive data from the communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 6/7, element 609; Col. 5, lines 24-26], load the data into the memory [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4 ("loading coprocessor registers")], retrieve the data from the memory [see Wong, Col. 4, lines 55-57; Examiner's note: Wong discloses data passing from a register (within the ACM/LSM fabric) to a DPU ("cloud"), thus Wong discloses reading data from a memory coupled to a hardwire-pipeline circuit.], process the retrieved data [see Wong, Fig. 5, element 503; Examiner's note: Wong discloses a "cloud" of reconfigurable logic which processes data sent from the previously cited register.], and drive the processed data onto the communication bus [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 4-7; Examiner's note: Wong discloses results being sent back to the main processor, which would have utilized the communication bus.].

As per claim 3, Wong discloses the pipeline accelerator of claim 1 wherein each of the pipeline units comprises: a respective memory coupled to the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 7, element LSM]; and wherein the hardwired-pipeline circuit is operable to, receive data from the communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 6/7, element

Art Unit: 2181

609; Col. 5, lines 24-26], process the data [see Wong, Fig. 5, element 503; Examiner's note: Wong discloses a "cloud" of reconfigurable logic which processes data sent from the previously cited register.], load the processed data into the memory [see Wong, Col. 4, lines 55-57; Examiner's note: In the cited sections, Wong discloses a circular movement of data, however it is apparent upon reading the specification that the flow of data is not necessarily in a circular motion (Col. 9, lines 47-50). This allows a serial connection of datapath elements such as combinational logic to a register and then returned to processor. Due to the reconfigurable nature of the ACM it is impractical to list or summarize all possible function configurations, therefore, the previously described configuration is considered to be of merit by the examiner.], retrieve the processed data from the memory, and load the processed data onto the communication bus [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 4-7; Examiner's note: Wong discloses results being sent back to the main processor, which would have utilized the communication bus and would also retrieve the values from a stored location within the ACM.].

As per claim 5, Wong discloses the pipeline accelerator of claim 1, further comprising: a pipeline bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b; Col. 4, lines 22-24; Examiner's note: It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the bus interfaces would have been coupled to the CPU and to a corresponding datapath slice though a bus.]; and a pipeline-bus interface coupled to the communication bus and to the pipeline bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b; Col. 4, lines 22-24].

Art Unit: 2181

As per claim 6, Wong discloses the pipeline accelerator of claim 1, further comprising: wherein the communication bus comprises a plurality of branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b], a respective branch coupled to each pipeline unit [see Wong, Fig. 6, coupling of double sided arrow to 610a/620a]; and a router coupled to each of the branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 611a,b; Examiner's note: elements 611a/b determine the interconnect if any between DPUs (Col. 5, lines 26-28) thus routing functions to individual pipeline units.].

As per claim 7, Wong discloses the pipeline accelerator of claim 1, further comprising: wherein the communication bus comprises a plurality of branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b], a respective branch [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b] coupled to each pipeline unit [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 610a,b]; a router coupled to each of the branches [s see Wong, Fig. 6, element 611a,b; Examiner's note: elements 611a/b determine the interconnect if any between DPUs (Col. 5, lines 26-28) thus routing functions to individual pipeline units.]; a pipeline bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b; Examiner's note: It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the bus interfaces would have been coupled to the CPU and to a corresponding datapath slice via a bus];

Art Unit: 2181

and a pipeline-bus interface coupled to the router and to the pipeline bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b].

As per claim 8, Wong discloses the communication bus [comprising] a plurality of branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b], a respective branch coupled to each pipeline unit; a router coupled to each of the branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, coupling of double sided arrow to 610a/620a]; a pipeline bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b; Col. 4, lines 22-24; Examiner's note: It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the bus interfaces would have been coupled to the CPU and to a corresponding datapath slice.]; a pipeline-bus interface coupled to the router and to the pipeline bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b; Col. 4, lines 22-24].

Wong does not explicitly disclose a secondary bus coupled to the router.

However, Wong does disclose the use of a MAC controller (Fig. 3, element 304), which is commonly used to access a network. However, Wong does not explicitly disclose how the MAC controller interfaces with the ACM within the disclosure. Given the state of the art at the time of invention, it would have been known that vector processors are well suited for handling large quantities of raw data and a mechanism for routing data directly to the pipeline units would have been advantageous. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to assume that the MAC controller disclosed by Wong would have been coupled to the

Art Unit: 2181

router disclosed by Wong with the intention of facilitating the transmission of raw data for processing.

As per **claim 9**, Wong discloses the pipeline accelerator of claim 1 wherein: the communication bus is operable to receive data addressed to one of the pipeline units [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4]; and the one pipeline circuit is operable to accept the data; and the other pipeline circuits are operable to reject the data [see Wong, Col. 10, lines 7-10; lines 37-45; Examiner's note: Wong uses the function map table (Fig. 18a) to and the block configuration words (Fig. 18b) to correctly allow the corresponding pipeline unit to process the data and the other pipeline units to reject processing of the data.].

As per claim 10, Wong discloses the pipeline accelerator of claim 1, further comprising: wherein the communication bus comprises a plurality of branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b], a respective branch coupled to each pipeline unit [see Wong, Fig. 6, coupling of double sided arrow to 610a/620a]; a router coupled to each of the branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 611a,b; Examiner's note: elements 611a/b determine the interconnect if any between DPUs (Col. 5, lines 26-28)] and operable to, receive data addressed to one of the pipeline units [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4; Examiner's note: Applicant is reminded of the method disclosed by Wong to identify a receiving pipeline unit as describe with regard to claim 9 of this application.], and provide the data to the one pipeline unit via the respective branch of the communication bus [Examiner's note:

Art Unit: 2181

It is clear that data sent to a particular pipeline would be required to utilize the branch bus disclosed by Wong in Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b].

As per claim 11, Wong discloses a computing machine, comprising: a processor [see Wong, Fig. 3, element 302]; a pipeline-accelerator configuration registry operable to store hardwired-pipeline-configuration information [see Wong, Col. 4, lines 29-36: Configuration data is saved]; a pipeline accelerator comprising, a communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 609; Col. 5, lines 24-26], a pipeline-bus interface coupled between the pipeline bus and the communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b; Col. 4, lines 22-24], and a plurality of pipeline units each coupled to the communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, element "Datapath Slice"; Col. 5, lines 30-34] and each comprising a respective hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 6, elements "DPU"; Col. 5, lines 12-15 ("The DPUs provide the data path functionality for the behavioral mapping...")]; and

a pipeline bus coupled to the processor [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b; Col. 4, lines 22-24; Examiner's note: It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the bus interfaces would have been coupled to the CPU and to a corresponding datapath slice via a bus], the registry, and the pipeline-bus interface of the pipeline accelerator [see Wong, Fig. 3], the pipeline bus operable to carry data and hardwired-pipeline-configuration information [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 320, 311, and 302; Examiner's note: Please see 'Response to Arguments' below]; and

Art Unit: 2181

As per claim 12. Wong discloses the computing machine of clam 11 wherein: the processor is operable to generate a message that identifies one of the pipeline units Isee Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4 (instruction to turn control over to ACM); Col. 10, line 37 (a load instruction to specify which plane of DPUs to load)] and to drive the message onto the pipeline bus [Examiner's note: It is inherent with respect to Figure 3, that data is transferred between the CPU and ACM via a bus and bus interfaces]; the pipeline-bus interface is operable to couple the message to the communication bus [see Wong, Fig. 3, element 319a; Examiner's note: It would have been known at the time of invention that an interface is utilized to couple messages between busses]; the pipeline units are each operable to analyze the message [see Wong, Col. 10, lines 37-46; Examiner's note: Block configuration words are used to validate the message received, that is, to validate that the pipeline unit is the correct unit to receive the message.]; the identified pipeline unit is operable to accept the message; and the other pipeline circuits are operable to reject the message [see Wong, Col. 10, lines 7-10; lines 37-45; Examiner's note: Wong uses the function map table (Fig. 18a) to and the block configuration words (Fig. 18b) to correctly allow the corresponding pipeline unit to process the data and the other pipeline units to reject processing of the data.].

As per claim 13, Wong discloses the computing machine of claim 11, further comprising: wherein the communication bus comprises a plurality of branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements

Art Unit: 2181

610a,b], a respective branch [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b] coupled to each pipeline unit [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 610a,b]; wherein the processor is operable to generate a message that identifies one of the pipeline units [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4 (instruction to turn control over to ACM); Col. 10, line 37 (a load instruction to specify which plane of DPUs to load)] and to drive the message onto the pipeline bus [Examiner's note: It is inherent with respect to Figure 3, that data is transferred between the CPU and ACM via a bus and bus interfaces]; and a router coupled to each of the branches and to the pipeline-bus interface [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 611a,b; Examiner's note: elements 611a/b determine the interconnect if any between DPUs (Col. 5, lines 26-28)] and operable to receive the message from the pipeline-bus interface and to provide the message to the identified pipeline unit [see Wong, Col. 5, lines 22-28; Examiner's note: Wong discloses the BLU receiving messages from the communication bus and thus the interface and configuring the datapath accordingly, thus providing the message.].

As per claim 14, Wong discloses the communication bus [comprising] a plurality of branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, double-arrowed busses between communication bus 609 and elements 610a,b], a respective branch coupled to each pipeline unit [see Wong, Fig. 6, coupling of double sided arrow to 610a/620a]; and a router coupled to each of the branches [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 611a,b; Examiner's note: elements 611a/b determine the interconnect if any between DPUs (Col. 5, lines 26-28) thus

Art Unit: 2181

routing functions to individual pipeline units.] [and] to the pipeline bus interface [see Wong, Fig. 3, elements 319a,b].

Wong does not explicitly disclose a secondary bus and a router coupled to the secondary bus.

However, Wong does disclose the use of a MAC controller (Fig. 3, element 304), which is commonly used to access a network. However, Wong does not explicitly disclose how the MAC controller interfaces with the ACM within the disclosure. Given the state of the art at the time of invention, it would have been known that vector processors are well suited for handling large quantities of raw data and a mechanism for routing data directly to the pipeline units would have been advantageous. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to assume that the MAC controller disclosed by Wong would have been coupled to the router disclosed by Wong with the intention of facilitating the transmission of raw data for processing.

As per claim 15, Wong discloses a method, comprising: sending data to first of a plurality of pipeline units via a communication bus [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4; Examiner's note: Wong discloses sending data to the coprocessor registers, it would have been inherent that upon configuration, a hardwired pipeline would require a starting position for processing data.], each pipeline unit including a respective hardwired pipeline [see Wong, Fig. 6, element 621a et al. ("DPU" elements); Col. 5, lines 12-15 ("The DPUs provide the data path functionality for the behavioral

Art Unit: 2181

mapping...")]; and processing the data with the first pipeline unit in response to a first clock signal [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 4-7; Examiner's note: In this cite, Wong discloses an overall process, it is inherent that this overall process would contain processing of a first pipeline unit.].

Wong does not disclose processing the second data with the second pipeline unit in response to a second clock signal and while the first pipeline unit is processing the first data, the second clock signal being unsynchronized to the first clock signal.

Ebeling does disclose a datapath that operates parallel (See column 13, lines 9-10) and asynchronously (See column 9, lines 62-64).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Wong with Ebeling because both inventions deal with reconfigurable data paths with emphasis for flexibility (See abstracts of both patents) and thus if one or more features belonging to a similar invention were desirable, it would be obvious to combine those features into the invention.

As per claim 16, Wong discloses the method of claim 15 wherein sending the data comprises: sending the data to a router [see Wong, Col. 5, lines 22-24; Examiner's note: Data is sent from the CPU to the BLU.]; and providing the first data to the first pipeline unit with the router via a respective first branch of the communication bus [see Wong, Col. 5, lines 26-28; Examiner's note: Bus 609 in Fig. 6 allows transfer of both control and data to BLU 610a. BLU 610a controls the configuration of DPUs in pipeline

Art Unit: 2181

·

unit 620a and transfers data through the bus located between the BLU and DPUs as shown in Fig. 6].

As per claim 17, Wong discloses the method of claim 15 wherein sending the data comprises sending the first data to the first pipeline unit with a processor [see Wong, Col. 6, lines 2-4].

As per claim 18, Wong discloses the method of claim 15 wherein sending the data comprises sending the first data to the first pipeline with a second of the plurality of pipeline units [see Wong, Fig. 6, elements 630a; Col. 9, lines 47-50; Examiner's note: Wong discloses an inter-block communication bus (likened to buses connecting pipeline units in Fig. 8 of the applicant) that enables blocks to send data to each other. Given the multi-block function disclosed by Wong in Col. 9, it would have been obvious that a second unit could send data to a first unit via the inter-block bus.].

As per claim 19, Wong discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising driving the processed first data onto the communication bus with the first pipeline unit [see Wong, Col. 5, lines 24-26; Examiner's note: Bus 609 allows for bidirectional transfer of data from the ACM to the CPU. It would have been apparent that after data has finished processing it would have been steered back to the processor via Bus 609 (Col. 9, lines 4-7)].

Art Unit: 2181

As per claim 20, Wong discloses the method of claim 15 wherein processing the first data with the first pipeline unit comprises: receiving the first data from the communication bus with a hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 6/7, element 609; Col. 5, lines 24-26], loading the first data into a memory with the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4], retrieving the first data from the memory with the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Col. 4, lines 55-57; Examiner's note: Wong discloses data passing from a register (within the ACM/LSM fabric) to a DPU ("cloud"), thus Wong discloses reading data from a memory coupled to a hardwire-pipeline circuit.], and processing the retrieved first data with the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 5, element 503].

As per claim 21, Wong discloses the method of claim 15 wherein processing the first data with the first pipeline unit comprises: receiving the first data from the communication bus with a hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 6/7, element 609; Col. 5, lines 24-26], processing the received first data with the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Fig. 5, element 503], and loading the processed first data into a memory with the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Col. 9, lines 2-4], and retrieving the processed first data from the memory with the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Col. 4, lines 55-57; Examiner's note: Wong discloses data passing from a register (within the ACM/LSM fabric) to a DPU ("cloud"), thus Wong discloses reading data from a memory coupled to a hardwire-pipeline circuit.], and driving the processed first data onto the communication bus with the hardwired-pipeline circuit [see Wong, Col. 9, lines

Art Unit: 2181

4-7; Examiner's note: Wong discloses results being sent back to the main processor, which would have utilized the communication bus].

As per claim 22, Wong discloses the method of clam 15, further comprising: generating a message that includes the first data and that identifies the first pipeline unit as a recipient of the message [see Wong, Col. 9, line 45; Examiner's note: Wong discloses a load instruction which specifies which block a function is to reside in.]; and wherein sending the first data to the first pipeline unit comprises determining from the message that the first pipeline is a recipient of the message [see Wong, Col. 10, lines 7-10; lines 37-45; Examiner's note: Wong uses the function map table (Fig. 18a) to and the block configuration words (Fig. 18b) to correctly allow the corresponding pipeline unit to process the data and the other pipeline units to reject processing of the data.].

As per claim 23, Wong discloses a computing machine, comprising: a memory operable to store program instructions (See figure 3, LSM array and SDRAM: Both are connected to buses that can fetch instructions and thus can store program instructions); a program-instruction bus coupled to memory (See figure 3: The bus instruction fetch buses are program-instruction buses); a pipeline bus that is separate from the program-instruction bus (See figure 3: Memory instruction fetch bus, a pipeline bus, is different from the normal instruction fetch bus); a processor (See figure 3, CPU 302) coupled to the program-instruction bus and to the pipeline bus (See figure 3: All buses lead to the processor); the pipeline accelerator comprising, a communication bus (See Fig. 6,

Art Unit: 2181

element 609; Col. 5, lines 24-26); a pipeline-bus interface coupled to the communication bus and to the pipeline bus (See fig. 3, elements 319a,b; col. 4, lines 22-24); and a plurality of pipeline units each coupled to the communication bus (See fig. 3, element "Datapath Slice"; Col. 5, lines 30-34) and each comprising a respective hardwired-pipeline circuit (See fig. 6, elements "DPU"; Col. 5, lines 12-15 ("The DPUs provide the data path functionality for the behavioral mapping...")).

Wong does not teach a pipeline accelerator inoperable to communicate directly with the program-instruction bus

Ebeling does disclose a pipeline accelerator inoperable to communicate directly with the program-instruction bus (See figure 8: The data path and instruction converge at one point).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Wong with Ebeling because both inventions deal with reconfigurable data paths with emphasis for flexibility (See abstracts of both patents) and thus if one or more features belonging to a similar invention were desirable, it would be obvious to combine those features into the invention.

As per **claim 24**, Wong discloses a method, comprising: retrieving with a processor program instructions from a memory via a program-instruction bus (See figure 3: Memory instruction fetch and SDRAM instruction fetch is possible); executing the instructions with the processors (See figure 3: Done inherently with processor); and transferring information between the processor and pipeline units of a pipeline

Art Unit: 2181

accelerator via a pipeline bus that is separate from the program-instruction bus (See figure 3: More than one type of bus is present).

Wong does not teach a pipeline accelerator inoperable to communicate directly with the program-instruction bus.

Ebeling does disclose a pipeline accelerator inoperable to communicate directly with the program-instruction bus (See figure 8: The data path and instruction converge at one point).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Wong with Ebeling because both inventions deal with reconfigurable data paths with emphasis for flexibility (See abstracts of both patents) and thus if one or more features belonging to a similar invention were desirable, it would be obvious to combine those features into the invention.

As per claim 25, Wong discloses wherein the information comprises data (Information is inherently data); wherein transferring the information comprises sending the data from the processor to the pipeline units (See figure 16: ACM can receive data from ALU); and processing the data with the pipeline units (See figure 16: ACM is connected to ALU).

As per claim 26, Wong discloses wherein the information comprises data (Information is inherently data); wherein transferring the information comprises sending the data from the pipeline units to the processor (See figure 16: ACM can receive data

Art Unit: 2181

from ALU); and processing the data with the processor (See figure 16: ACM is connected to ALU).

As per claim 27, Wong discloses wherein the information comprises addresses of the pipeline units (See column 7, line 63- column 8, line 2).

As per claim 28, Wong discloses wherein the pipeline bus is coupled to the pipeline-accelerator configuration registry via the processor. (See figure 3 and column 4, lines 29-36: Configuration data is saved and the connection is shown in figure 3).

As per claim 29, Wong teaches the pipeline accelerator of claim 1.

Wong does not explicitly disclose each of the hardwired-pipeline circuits is disposed on a respective field-programmable gate array.

However, Wong does disclose the hardwired-pipeline circuits being disposed on a reconfigurable "course-grained silicon implementation" (Col. 5, line 36). Furthermore, Wong discloses the use of FPGAs for the same utility (Col. 5, line 37) and furthermore discloses the disadvantages of utilizing an FPGA (Col. 5, lines 37-38). Furthermore, Wong discloses the point that FPGA implementations are more flexible (Col. 1, lines 51-52) and were not used within the invention due to the preferred nature of courser-grained silicon for long bit width arithmetic function modules (Col. 5, lines 39-40). The advantage, disclosed by Wong, of utilizing FPGAs for a hardwired-pipeline circuit would therefore have been to include a higher level of flexibility. Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2181

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize an FPGA as a hardwired-pipeline circuit in place of the courser-grained silicon if a higher degree of flexibility was needed without heed to long bit arithmetic function modules.

As per claim 30, Wong discloses at least one of the respective hardwiredpipeline circuits is disposed on an application-specific integrated circuit (See column 3, line 61-column 4, line 3).

As per claim 31. Wong discloses at least one of the respective hardwiredpipeline circuits is disposed on an application-specific integrated circuit (See column 3, line 61-column 4, line 3).

Wong does not explicitly disclose each of the hardwired-pipeline circuits is disposed on a respective field-programmable gate array.

However, Wong does disclose the hardwired-pipeline circuits being disposed on a reconfigurable "course-grained silicon implementation" (Col. 5, line 36). Furthermore, Wong discloses the use of FPGAs for the same utility (Col. 5, line 37) and furthermore discloses the disadvantages of utilizing an FPGA (Col. 5, lines 37-38). Furthermore, Wong discloses the point that FPGA implementations are more flexible (Col. 1, lines 51-52) and were not used within the invention due to the preferred nature of coursergrained silicon for long bit width arithmetic function modules (Col. 5, lines 39-40). The advantage, disclosed by Wong, of utilizing FPGAs for a hardwired-pipeline circuit would therefore have been to include a higher level of flexibility. Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2181

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize an FPGA as a hardwired-pipeline circuit in place of the courser-grained silicon if a higher degree of flexibility was needed without heed to long bit arithmetic function modules.

As per claim 32, Wong teaches the computing machine of claim 11.

Wong does not explicitly disclose each of the hardwired-pipeline circuits is disposed on a respective field-programmable gate array.

However, Wong does disclose the hardwired-pipeline circuits being disposed on a reconfigurable "course-grained silicon implementation" (Col. 5, line 36). Furthermore, Wong discloses the use of FPGAs for the same utility (Col. 5, line 37) and furthermore discloses the disadvantages of utilizing an FPGA (Col. 5, lines 37-38). Furthermore, Wong discloses the point that FPGA implementations are more flexible (Col. 1, lines 51-52) and were not used within the invention due to the preferred nature of courser-grained silicon for long bit width arithmetic function modules (Col. 5, lines 39-40). The advantage, disclosed by Wong, of utilizing FPGAs for a hardwired-pipeline circuit would therefore have been to include a higher level of flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize an FPGA as a hardwired-pipeline circuit in place of the courser-grained silicon if a higher degree of flexibility was needed without heed to long bit arithmetic function modules.

Art Unit: 2181

As per claim 33, Wong discloses at least one of the respective hardwired-pipeline circuits is disposed on an application-specific integrated circuit (See column 3, line 61-column 4, line 3).

As per claim 34, Wong discloses at least one of the pipeline units comprises an application-specific integrated circuit (See column 3, line 61-column 4, line 3).

Wong does not explicitly disclose at least one of the pipeline units comprises a respective field-programmable gate array.

However, Wong does disclose the hardwired-pipeline circuits being disposed on a reconfigurable "course-grained silicon implementation" (Col. 5, line 36). Furthermore, Wong discloses the use of FPGAs for the same utility (Col. 5, line 37) and furthermore discloses the disadvantages of utilizing an FPGA (Col. 5, lines 37-38). Furthermore, Wong discloses the point that FPGA implementations are more flexible (Col. 1, lines 51-52) and were not used within the invention due to the preferred nature of courser-grained silicon for long bit width arithmetic function modules (Col. 5, lines 39-40). The advantage, disclosed by Wong, of utilizing FPGAs for a hardwired-pipeline circuit would therefore have been to include a higher level of flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize an FPGA as a hardwired-pipeline circuit in place of the courser-grained silicon if a higher degree of flexibility was needed without heed to long bit arithmetic function modules.

Art Unit: 2181

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 4 is allowed.

The primary reasons for allowance of claim 4 in the instant application rest at least in the combination with the inclusion of the limitation that "wherein each of the hardwired-pipeline circuits is disposed on a respective field-programmable-gate-array die." The prior art of record neither anticipates nor renders obvious the above-recited combination.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent Lai whose telephone number is (571) 272-6749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30 (First BiWeek Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

vl March 19, 2007 Vincent Lai Examiner Art-Unit 2181

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER